



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029,958	12/21/2001	Travis Robert Taylor	LAM2P238.CIP	6071
25920 7	590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP			ROSE, ROBERT A	
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 170		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			3723	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U			
Office Action Summary	10/029,958	TAYLOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Rose	3723	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	nnuary 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		-				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del>				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,958

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pant et al(US 5916012). Note that the structure of Pant et al would meet the limitation of an outer set of pressure sub regions located outside the circumference of the wafer, provided the wafer is appropriately sized. Although the trend is toward the manufacture of increasingly larger wafers for greater yield, it is known in the past to make wafers of diameter smaller than disclosed in Pant et al. To use the device of Pant et al in combination with prior art wafers of smaller size would have been at most an obvious matter of design choice. Such choice of wafer size would naturally lead to some of the pressure subregions of the platen being located outside of the wafer circumference, and would inherently allow the pad upper surface to be altered. Pant et al discloses at column 5, lines 4-6 that the circular section(30) containing the holes can be larger than the wafer if desired. Note column 9, lines 1-6 of Pant et al that the dispensing fluid may either be a liquid or a gas.
- 4. Claims 10-15 are allowed.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed January 5, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The system of Pant et al does not require a specific wafer diameter and appears fully

Page 2



Application/Control Number: 10/029,958

Art Unit: 3723

useable with wafers of various sizes. While the industry trend is toward the manufacture of increasingly larger wafers for greater yield, it is known in the past to make wafers of diameter smaller than disclosed in Pant et al. Such choice of wafer size would naturally lead to some of the pressure subregions of the platen being located outside of the wafer circumference. To use the device of Pant et al in combination with prior art wafers of smaller size would have been at most an obvious matter of design choice. Claims 10-15 directed to the method of use of the apparatus, have been given favorable consideration.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

rr

March 31, 2004.